

REMARKS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Final Office Action mailed February 8, 2007. A Request for Continued Examination and a Petition for Extension of Time are submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee.

I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections

Claims 1-3, 8, 10, and 13-20 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Final Office Action, claims 1-3, 8, 10, 13, and 15-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>). Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindberg in view of Yamakita (U.S. Patent No. 6,272,490).

II. Summary of Applicants' Amendments

The present Response amends claims 1-2, 8, 10, 13, and 15-19, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13-20. Reconsideration of the rejections in light of the following arguments is respectfully requested.

III. Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 13 and 15-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>).

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended by the present Response to more clearly define the embodiment of the invention therein. As amended, claim 1 defines:

1. (Currently amended) A method for providing access to services when a Web page is accessed by a user comprising the steps of:
 - receiving a URL from a user;
 - retrieving one or more links parsed from a Web page identified by the URL, wherein the links comprise menu items parsed from a template of menu items on the Web page;
 - displaying the one or more links in a list format;

receiving a user selection of a given one of the links from the list of links;
retrieving one or more services which may be performed for the given link, the
one or more services hosted separately from the list of links; and
displaying the one or more services in a list format for selection by the user.

Claim 1 as amended defines retrieving one or more *links parsed from a Web page* identified by the URL, wherein the links comprise menu items parsed from a template of menu items on the Web page. For example, for the Web page with URL “www.fxpall.com,” Fig. 5A shows contents (in right frame) and links (in left frame showing menu items) provided in the FXPAL Web page. Fig. 5B shows how links are provided from the FXPAL Web page in an index list on a mobile phone. (Spec., pg. 11, lines 12-18). Regarding performing parsing on a Web page, such as the Web page in Fig. 5A, the document [Web page] received from the server is then parsed and hyper-links provided in the document [Web page] are separated from the content. The hyper-links are processed, re-organized, and provided for display on the mobile device. (Spec., pg. 10, lines 21-25). Claim 1 as amended further defines retrieving one or more services which may be performed for the given link, and displaying the one or more services in a list format for selection by the user. These services include services such as those listed in Fig. 6C, for example, read (the contents of the Web page), print (the Web page), fax (the Web page), email the link, send the link (as a pager message), and discuss.

Kindberg discloses that a user’s (Veronica) PDA picks up that she is in a city wherein a list of links corresponding to items, services, places and things are communicated and displayed as links onto her PDA. (S.2.1, pg. 3, para. 5). Further, Kindberg discloses that Harry has given a URL to Veronica, and inside the URL is a web link to communicate with Harry, such as by email or by telephone. When Veronica calls up Harry’s web page and clicks on the “communicate” link, a telephone where Harry is located rings and a telephone application pops up on her PDA. If Harry had instead been most conveniently reachable by email, an email program would have popped up instead. (S.2.1, para. 5).

Claim 1 has been amended to more clearly define retrieving one or more links parsed from a Web page of a given URL, wherein the links comprise menu items parsed from a template of menu items on the Web page. Kindberg, on the other hand, discloses that a PDA senses a list of links based on where the PDA is located. Kindberg, however, does not disclose performing parsing a Web page to retrieve links. Additionally, the list of links sensed by a PDA

based on where the PDA is located as disclosed in Kindberg is not the same as one or more links parsed directly from a given Web page, as required by claim 1.

Further, claim 1 has been amended to more clearly define retrieving one or more services which may be performed for the given link, and displaying the one or more services in a list format for selection by the user. Kindberg, on the other hand, discloses a “communicate” link which is used to initiate contact with a person, for example by phone or email. This link used to initiate contact with a person as disclosed in Kindberg is not the same as one or more services used for display to the user and for selection by the user, as required by claim 1. Additionally, because Kindberg does not disclose retrieving a link parsed from a Web page as discussed above, similarly Kindberg does not disclose for a given link parsed from a Web page retrieving one or more services which may be performed for the given link, as required by claim 1.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Kindberg fails to teach or suggest retrieving one or more links parsed from a Web page of a given URL, wherein the links comprise menu items parsed from a template of menu items on the Web page; and retrieving one or more services which may be performed for the given link, and displaying the one or more services in a list format for selection by the user, as required by claim 1. Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 1 is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Kindberg, and respectfully request reconsideration of the claim.

Claim 13

Claim 13 has been amended so that it now has sufficient antecedent basis. Claim 13 as amended requires that the step of displaying the one or more services comprises the steps of: displaying a standard list of services; and displaying a list of services dependent on a characteristic of the user, *wherein the user characteristics comprise* one or more of the following: user preferences specified by the user on the user’s communications device; type of communications device used by the user; and cost of services for which the user will pay.

In the Office Action, it was stated that these three user characteristics elements were not claimed. Applicants respectfully disagree, as claim 13 was amended to include these elements in the previous response filed November 27, 2006. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 19

Claim 19 has been amended so that it now has sufficient antecedent basis. Claim 19 as amended requires displaying one or more of the following parsed from the Web page: phone numbers, address and email addresses. Kindberg discloses the “communicate” link in Harry’s Web page (S.2.1, para. 5). This link as disclosed in Kindberg is not the same as a phone number, address, or email address parsed from a Web page, as required by Claim 19. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by this claim is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Kindberg, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claims 2, 3, 8, 10, 15-18 and 20

Claims 2, 8, 10 and 15-18 have been amended so that they now have sufficient antecedent basis. Claims 2, 3, 8, 10, 15-18, and 20 are not addressed separately, but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable in view of the comments provided above. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2, 3, 8, 10, 15-18, and 20 are similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Kindberg, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. It is also submitted that these claims also add their own limitations which render them patentable in their own right. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue these limitations should it become necessary in the future.

IV. Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindberg in view of Yamakita (U.S. Patent No. 6,272,490).

Claim 14

Claim 14 is not addressed separately, but it is respectfully submitted that this claim is allowable in view of the comments provided above. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 14 is similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Kindberg or Yamakita, taken alone or in combination, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. It is also submitted that this claim also adds its own limitation which renders it patentable in its own right. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue this limitation should it become necessary in the future.

V. Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if she can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for the time to respond up to and including June 8, 2007.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required fees and any underpayment of fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 8, 2007

By: /Julie Daniels Missud/
Julie Daniels Missud
Reg. No. 51,330

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800
Customer No. 23910